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The factors controlling the title reaction were investigated with two tethered hydroxyl nucleophiles and include preferential attack at the more highly substituted carbon atom, preferential formation of the more highly substituted five-membered ring, and preferential closure in the 5-exo-tet sense according to Baldwin's rules.

The stereospecific synthesis of cyclic ethers, e.g. (3), by hydroxyl capture of an episulphonium ion, e.g. (2), during acid-catalysed cyclisation of phenylthio (PhS-) alcohols, e.g. (1), with ${ }^{1-3}$ or without ${ }^{4} \mathrm{PhS}$ migration is a successful route to a variety of substituted tetrahydrofurans. These reactions, and the closely related cyclisations of unsaturated alcohols on treatment with RSCl and weak bases, ${ }^{5}$ suggest that the hydroxyl group prefers to attack the more substituted end of the episulphonium ion (2) via a loose $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ transition state, and that the ring size preference is $5>6>4$. We have also detected one stereoelectronic factor ${ }^{2}$ when both the PhS migration origin and terminus are secondary and now report on the evaluation of other stereoelectronic factors such as Baldwin's rules and the Thorpe-Ingold effect in competitive cyclisations of two tethered hydroxyl groups.
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By the Thorpe-Ingold effect, we simply mean the preferential formation of the most highly substituted ring, whatever the reason, ${ }^{6}$ but the application of Baldwin's rules ${ }^{7}$ to these reactions deserves elucidation. The tight $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction (4) is a simple 6-endo-tet reaction, and would presumably not occur in an intramolecular fashion as it is impossible to align $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, and SPh at the required $180^{\circ}$ and the penalties for straying even 200 off line are severe. ${ }^{8}$ The cyclisation (5) is better in two ways: inserting a short ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ is shorter than $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}^{+}$) bond between C-4 and C-6 improves the alignment by incorporating some of the strain of the transition state (4) into
the intermediate (5). The cyclisation (5) is now both a 6 -endo-tet and a 5 -exo-tet. In addition, the two extra substituents at $\mathrm{C}-6$ make a looser $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ transition state (6) possible. A partial positive charge can be supported at C-6, both partial bonds can be longer, and presumably the requirement for $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{SPh}$ alignment at $180^{\circ}$ becomes less stringent.
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We evaluated the two possible modes of cyclisation (16) by studying a series of triols (12) and (17) and describe one stereoisomer of (12) in detail. The triol anti,anti-(12) was synthesised from the protected hydroxyketone (7) via a 2-PhS aldehyde ${ }^{9}$ (8), stereoselective aldol reaction, ${ }^{10}$ reduction, ${ }^{1.3}$ and deprotection (Scheme 1).
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The two possible cyclisations (4-exo-tet is never observed) were realised separately by selective protection of the two hydroxyl groups and authentic samples of anti,anti-(13) and syn,anti-(15) prepared by deprotection. Cyclisation of the triol anti, anti-(12) gave only the 6 -endo-tet product anti,anti-(13) in high yield. This reaction is under thermodynamic control as the alternative product syn,anti-(15) rearranges to anti,anti-(13) under the reaction conditions. MM2 Calculations ${ }^{11}$ suggest that anti,anti-(13) is about $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than syn,anti-(15), equivalent to an equilibrium constant of about 103.5.
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The extent of the Thorpe-Ingold effect in this stabilisation is reveaied by changing the stereochemistry of the starting material to anti,syn-(12) and then to syn,anti-(12). ${ }^{12}$ The anti,syn isomer again gave exclusively the 6 -endo-tet product (13), but the syn,anti isomer, in which a less favourable syn relationship between Me and SPh is developing in the 6 -endo-tet product (13), gives mostly the 5 -exo-tet product (15). We found related behaviour in the cyclisation with PhS migration from a secondary migration origin to a secondary migration
terminus. ${ }^{2}$ Removing the extra methyl group altogether, as in anti-(17) ${ }^{13}$ produces a similar ratio (Scheme 2). This ratio of about $4: 1$ must represent a minimum for the Baldwin's rule effect as the 6 -endo-tet products (13) or (18) always have one extra substituent (the PhS group). In all these cases, high yields of either product may be obtained by selective protection (Table). We conclude that cyclisation is governed by these effects (both kinetically and thermodynamically), in decreasing order of importance:
(i) Cyclisation to the more highly substituted end of the episulphonium ion is always preferred.
(ii) A developing anti relationship is preferred to a developing syn relationship.
(iii) Pure 5-exo-tet cyclisation is preferred to the hybrid 6-endo/5-exo-tet cyclisation.
(iv) The more highly substituted ring is preferred.

Effects (ii) to (iv) are strongly inter-related: effect (iv), for example, may apply if an anti relationship is developing in the new ring, but not if a syn relationship is developing.

Table: Yields (\%) of Pure Compounds (13), (15), (18), and (19) by Protection (Schemes 1 and 2)

|  | 6-endo-tet |  |  | 5-exo-tet products: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starting <br> Material | Cyclisation $(10)-(11)$ | Desilylation $(11)-(13)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benzoylation } \\ & \text { of (10) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Desilylation } \\ \text { to (14) } \end{gathered}$ | Cyclisation of $(14)$ | Debenzoylation to (15) |
| anti,anti-(10) | 99 a | $78^{\text {a }}$ | 59 | 78 | 100 | 99 |
| anti,syn-(10) | $87^{\text {a }}$ | $82^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - |
| syn-anti -(10) | 61 | 89 | 90 | 78 | 74 | 93 |
| anti-(17) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 66 | c | 84 | 74 | 99 | 89 |

${ }^{\text {a B }}$ cyclisation of the triol (12): desilylation is first. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Starting material is the precursor of (17), products are (18) and (19). c29\% Syn-(18) formed by desilylation during the rearrangement.
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